

## New Programme (Major Award) External Validation Report

### Section A

### Report of the External Review Panel

<b>Programme Reference Number:</b>	
<b>Faculty/School(s):</b>	Science
<b>Department(s):</b>	Dept. of Analytical, Biopharmaceutical and Medical Science

#### Details of Programme(s) Reviewed

<b>Title:</b>	Master of Science in Entrepreneurship and Medtech
<b>Type of Award:</b>	Taught Masters
<b>NFQ (National Framework of Qualifications) Level:</b>	9
<b>ECTS:</b>	90
<b>ISCED:</b>	0510
<b>Duration:</b>	2 Years
<b>Proposed Student Intake:</b>	25
<b>Proposed Start Date:</b>	January 2024
<b>Delivery Mode(s):</b>	Full-time Blended, Part-time Blended

<b>Title:</b>	Postgraduate Diploma in Entrepreneurship and Medtech
<b>Type of Award:</b>	Postgraduate Diploma
<b>NFQ Level:</b>	9
<b>ECTS:</b>	60
<b>ISCED:</b>	0510
<b>Duration:</b>	1
<b>Proposed Student Intake:</b>	25
<b>Proposed Start Date:</b>	January 2024
<b>Delivery Mode(s):</b>	Full-time Blended, Part-time Blended

<b>Title:</b>	Postgraduate Certificate in Entrepreneurship and Medtech
<b>Type of Award:</b>	Postgraduate Certificate
<b>NFQ Level:</b>	9
<b>ECTS:</b>	30
<b>ISCED:</b>	0510
<b>Duration:</b>	0.5
<b>Proposed Student Intake:</b>	25

<b>Proposed Start Date:</b>	January 2024
<b>Delivery Mode(s):</b>	Full-time Blended, Part-time Blended
<b>Date of Review:</b>	21 <sup>st</sup> November 2023

## Review Panel

Panellist Role	Title	Name	Organisation	Job Title
Chair	Dr	Brendan Ryder	Dundalk Institute of Technology	Head of Academic Planning and Quality Assurance
External Academic Discipline Expert	Prof	Brendan Duffy	Technological University Dublin	Research Hub Lead
External Academic Discipline Expert	Prof	Tewfik Soulimane	University of Limerick	Full Professor, Industrial Biochemistry
Industry/ Community Representative	Ms	Caroline Walsh	Aerogen	Senior Director of QARA
Industry/ Community Representative	Dr	Greg Williams	Curia Global	Senior Scientist
Student Representative	Mr	Pranavsingh Dhunoo	ATU	Student Rep
Vice President for Academic Affairs and Registrar (VPAAR) Nominee (Academic Secretary)	Ms	Carmel Brennan	ATU	Assistant Registrar

All external members of the panel have declared that they are independent of ATU (Atlantic Technological University), and all have declared that they have no conflict of interest.

## Programme Design Team

The panel met the staff listed below during the review process.

Dr Eugene McCarthy	Dr Meghann Drury-Grogan
Joaquin Penide	Orla Colleran
Eilis McNulty	Mary McCormack
Yannick Casamayou	Dr Trish O'Connell
Rita Woodings	Teresa Hanley
Orla Slattery	

## Introduction

The EU Strategic Plan for Education, Youth, Sport and Culture 2020-2024 aims to develop a knowledge-based Europe to support a thriving competitive economy and an inclusive society underpinned by competitive research and innovation. This objective is also reflected in Ireland's 'National Smart Specialisation Strategy for Innovation 2022-27' vision to become a global leader driving a strong sustainable economy through excellent research in strategically important areas and developing a renowned pool of talent in Ireland's research system. Europe and indeed Ireland's future growth depends on innovation and future innovation depends on people. Ireland's high proportion of young people, relative to other EU countries, presents us with a unique opportunity. However, in order to deliver on this ambition highly trained researchers and innovators/ entrepreneurs are required. European policy highlights the need to develop in partnership with enterprise, state-of-the-art, innovative training schemes, consistent with the highly competitive and increasingly inter-disciplinary requirements of research and innovation in order to equip researchers with the cross-cutting innovation and entrepreneurial/business skills demanded by the jobs of tomorrow.

Medical Technology is characterised by a constant flow of innovations, which are the result of a high level of research and development within the industry, and of close cooperation with users. Medical technology products typically have a lifecycle of only 18-24 months before an improved product becomes available. In 2019, nearly 14,000 patent applications were filed with the European Patent Office (EPO) (Patent Index 2019) reflecting the high level of innovation activity in the sector. The European medical technology industry is made up of 32,000+ companies, with 95% classified as small to medium-sized enterprises, directly employing more than 730,000 people. The European medical technology market was estimated at roughly €150 billion in 2021 and the Research Priority Steering Group report issued by Government in 2018 highlighted medical technologies as a key priority area that offers the greatest potential for economic return to the state. Ireland is one of the top five emerging global hubs for medical technologies in the world, contributing to over €12 billion in exports annually. Ireland has the highest number of medical technology employees per capita in Europe with over 450 companies operating in this sector nationally employing directly 42,000+ people in 2022 (MedTech Europe, National Associations Survey).

The Galway region supports one of the world's leading MedTech clusters within 5Km of ATU's Galway city campus. Galway's vibrant ecosystem is made up of a blend of medical technology start-ups, multinationals, research centres and innovation hubs/districts. Core to driving the success of Galway medical technologies' ecosystem is the high level of research and innovation activity both within companies/academia and the innovation partnerships between enterprise and academic research centres funded through state agencies such as Enterprise Ireland and SFI. The MedTech innovation cycle is primarily led by MNCs or start-ups identifying an unmet clinical need or market opportunity. Concepts which are sufficiently innovative and differentiated progress to the development phase. However, a significant risk to advancing technologies in the development phase is the availability of relevant skills in entrepreneurship and research and the constant changing profile of skills needs.

This programme in Entrepreneurship and Medtech aims to develop the necessary skills required to strategically support the development and manufacture of medical technologies. Learners will develop cross skills in research, regulatory, advanced testing methods and entrepreneurship to meet the needs of the Medtech sector. The programme is aimed at graduates who have either a science or engineering background and are aiming to develop their careers in a leadership/managerial role.

See Appendix for Entry Requirements, Programme Learning Outcomes and Approved Programme Schedule post Programme Development Team response to validation report.

## **Rationale for Programme(s)**

The Medtech sector is characterised by a constant flow of innovations, which are the result of a high level of research and development within the industry, and of close cooperation with users. Ireland's future growth depends on innovation and highly talented individuals to oversee the medtech technologies reach the marketplace. Consultation with companies (Cook Medical; Goodman Medical; Cranmed; Medtronic; AuriGen Medical; NuaSurgical; CERENOVUS; Bluedrop Medical; Perfuze; BD; Merit Medical; Boston Scientific; Stryker; VivaSure Medical; TE; Aerogen, Irish Medtech Skillnet) further substantiated the need for graduates with the ability to combine a knowledge of medical technologies with skills in entrepreneurship/business.

The course ensures that future needs of the life science sector in the west of Ireland are met to ensure the region's continued reputation as a recognised Life Sciences cluster, a strategic objective of the West Regional Enterprise Plan. It is expected that the intake each year for the proposed programme will be approximately 25 students. The Postgraduate Diploma in Entrepreneurship and Medtech (embedded award) successfully secured funding (€320,000) from the recent Human Capital initiative call, further substantiating the need for the proposed programme. The proposed programme is in line with ATU's strategic plan to support regional growth. Participation in initiatives such as Springboard, ICT Skills and the Human Capital Initiative delivers on several of these strategic goals identified by the School and the University as a whole. The proposed programme will diversify our cohort of learners, enhances industry engagement, and delivers on regional and national policy developments in higher education.

## **Validation Criteria**

ATU's Developing and Validating New Taught Programmes Policy specifies that new programmes must comply with the following criteria for validation:

1. The programme aims and learning outcomes are clear and aligned with the proposed award title.
2. The rationale for the programme is well informed and justified.
3. The design of the programme is suitably structured and fit for purpose.
4. The design of the programme ensures that students can successfully achieve the Programme Learning Outcomes.
5. The teaching, learning and assessment strategy is well planned and appropriate for the discipline area and type of award.
6. Assessment techniques are fair, valid, reliable, consistent and a credible measure of the academic standard attained by students.
7. The planned resources, including staff, physical, online, library and student supports, sufficiently support the teaching, learning and assessment strategy for the programme.
8. The programme facilitates lifelong learning for a diverse student population by setting out appropriate entry requirements and opportunities for access, transfer, and progression.
9. There is demand for potential graduates from the programme.
10. The learning environment and mode of delivery are consistent with the needs of the intended students of the programme and accessible and appropriate support services for students have been provided for.
11. Students will be well informed on the requirements of the programme, guided to relevant resources and supported in their studies in a caring environment.

## Findings

### Overall Finding

Validated without changes	
Validated subject to condition(s) and/or recommendation(s)	<b>X</b>
Rejected	

### Reason for Overall Finding

The panel were satisfied that subject to meeting the conditions outlined below that the programme meets ATU's validation criteria, and are satisfied that there is a strong rationale and need for the programme. They have outlined a number of recommendations which will enhance the programmes.

### Commendations

The Validation Panel advises Academic Council of the following commendations.

1. Staff engagement and interaction with the panel during the virtual event and their clear enthusiasm for the programmes presented.
2. The programme development team's engagement with industry in developing the programmes and planned industry involvement in delivery.
3. The knowledge of staff relevant to the programme derived from their industrial experience.
4. The collaboration between the School of Science and Computing and the School of Business in providing level 9 programmes in pursuance of ATU's strategic objectives.
5. The receipt of funding for the delivery of the programmes which will benefit students and ultimately the two industries involved through the provision of appropriately knowledgeable graduates.
6. The student-centred teaching, learning and assessment strategies outlined in the document and discussed with the panel.
7. The clear incorporation of Sustainable Development Goals in the programmes.
8. The quality of the documentation provided to the panel.

### Conditions

The Validation Panel advises Academic Council that subject to satisfying any condition(s) detailed below, the panel is satisfied that the proposed programme(s) meets the validation criteria as set out in Atlantic Technological University's Developing and Validating New Programmes Policy.

1. The approved programme schedule should show the programme as a single stage with no barriers to progression.
2. Ensure that the certificate programmes are correctly labelled as Postgraduate Certificates, and as minor awards of the Masters programmes.
3. Ensure the ATU's award standards are referenced as appropriate throughout the document.
4. Ensure that the total hours for modules, including independent learning hours, accurately reflect ECTS requirements in all instances i.e., 7-8 hours per week for a 5 ECTS module. Review the project supervision hours to ensure that they are aligned with university agreed norms for both project modules.
5. Ensure that it is correctly reflected in the documentation that the programmes will be delivered as blended on a full-time/part-time basis, and this delivery mode also applies to any future

international cohorts who will have to be based onsite. The online element of the blended delivery must be recorded accurately in each module's delivery section.

## Recommendations

The panel advises Academic Council that the Programme Development Team and/or the Department should take cognisance of any recommendations outlined below.

1. Provide further detail on the entry requirements for each of the programmes, in particular language requirements and RPL options including stipulating any modules where exemptions are not feasible. Ensure that the cognate disciplines required for entry are reflected consistently throughout the document. Be explicit about specific transfer or progression opportunities available, if any. Provide further detail on level 10 opportunities in ATU within this discipline area.
2. Contextualise the student supports available for this student cohort i.e., NFQ Level 9 students undertaking a blended programme. Students' requirements for academic and technical writing should be clear at entry, with relevant supports provided.
3. Articulate how group assessments will be managed and will account for individuals' contributions in the marking scheme.
4. Consider recording videos showing how equipment works so that students can have familiarity in advance of lectures thereby optimising use of class time.
5. In the absence of an attendance policy, remove 100% attendance requirement from modules.
6. The panel was satisfied through discussion with the Programme Development Team that three is appropriately skilled and knowledgeable staff to deliver this programme. However, it is suggested that in future staff CVs should be provided to panels in advance to help demonstrate the capacity available to deliver the programme and supervise at level nine.
7. Revise diagrams in the document, particularly those in the programme delivery section, ensuring that module names and hours are correct and correspond with the Approved Programme Schedule. If it intended to block deliver modules, a sample timetable should be provided.
8. Review the assessment strategy for each module to enhance the level of detail provided. It should be clear what is expected from students for each assessment component. Ensure that assessment is considered in the context of generative artificial intelligence. In particular, review the repeat assessment strategy ensuring that it is explicitly articulated in each module. Clarify which exams are online proctored examinations in the module descriptors.
9. In the future, after HCI funding ends, the structure of the programme in terms of duration and dispersal of modules should be considered in light of the likely target cohort.
10. Module specific recommendations:
  - **ACCT09023 2023 Principles of Finance and Accounting** – Review the MLOs to ensure that they are appropriately written for a level 9 module. Ensure texts are displaying correctly on the module descriptor.
  - **BIOL09061 2023 Research Project 1** – Ensure that the output of this module is recorded at 6,000 words. Consider whether the weighting of the oral examination/presentation is too high in proportion to the thesis. Develop a project handbook to support students' completion of the project.
  - **THES09011 2023 Commercialisation Project:** Remove all references to 'Research Project 2'. Insert a mid-way progress report instead of a poster presentation given potential commercial sensitivities. Develop a project handbook to support students' completion of the project outlining any industry input.

## Report Approval

This report has been agreed by the review panel and is signed on their behalf by the chairperson.

Signed:



Dr Brendan Ryder,  
Validation Panel Chair

Date: 4<sup>th</sup> December 2023.